

Edgar Desainte-Maréville¹, Marion Foare^{1,3}, Paulo Gonçalves¹, Nelly Pustelnik², Elisa Riccietti¹

¹Inria OCKHAM team, LIP, ENS Lyon ²CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique, ENS Lyon ³CPE Lyon

Motivation: Study the impact of different update rules in multiresolution block-coordinate descent algorithms.

Imaging inverse problems

Goal: Reconstruct an image from a degraded observation.

$\bar{x}$ → $y = A\bar{x} + \varepsilon$

Original image → Observation (Deblurring)

Original image → Observation (Inpainting)

Ill-posed inverse problem
The inverse operator may *not* exist or lead to *irregular estimate*:

- Solution may *not* be unique
- Small variations in $y \rightarrow$ big variations in $\hat{x}$

Classical optimization method

Build a reconstruction by solving an optimization problem:

$$\hat{x} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - y\|_2^2 + \lambda R(x)$$

Data-fidelity
Ensures that $A\hat{x} \approx y$

Regularization
Reduces the search space by imposing some prior on the solution

The Forward-Backward algorithm
Given: step size $\tau > 0$, regularization parameter λ
Input: initial point x^0
for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ **do**
 $x^{k+\frac{1}{2}} = x^k - \tau A^\top (Ax^k - y)$
 $x^{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\tau \lambda R}(x^{k+\frac{1}{2}})$
end for

Reconstruction examples

$R(x) = TV(x) = \|\nabla x\|_1$

$R(x) = \|Wx\|_1$

Multiresolution decomposition

Wavelet transform: multiscale representation that decomposes an image into its low-frequency part (*approximation coefficients*) and its high-frequency components (*detail coefficients*).

Image as wavelet blocks

Orthonormal wavelet transform: can be performed *recursively* up to a scale J to get a decomposition

$$x = W^\top (a_J, d_J, \dots, d_1)$$

$J = 2$

Block-coordinate descent (BCD) algorithms

Optimization problem written in wavelet domain [1]

$$\hat{x} = W^\top \hat{\omega} \text{ with } \hat{\omega} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\omega = (a_J, d_J, \dots, d_1)} \left[\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \|AW^\top(a_J, d_J, \dots, d_1) - y\|_2^2}_{f(a_J, d_J, \dots, d_1)} + \underbrace{\|\Delta(a_J, d_J, \dots, d_1)\|_1}_{\lambda_{a_J}\|a_J\|_1 + \sum_j \lambda_{d_j}\|d_j\|_1} \right]$$

Block-coordinate descent Forward-Backward algorithm

Given: Step size τ , regularization parameter λ
Input: Initial coefficients $(a_J^0, d_J^0, \dots, d_1^0)$
for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ **do**
 $a_J^{k+1} = a_J^k + \varepsilon_{a_J^k} (\operatorname{prox}_{\tau \lambda_{a_J}\|\cdot\|_1}(a_J^k - \tau \nabla_{a_J} f(a_J, d_J, \dots, d_1)) - a_J^k)$
 for $j = J, J-1, \dots, 1$ **do**
 $d_j^{k+1} = d_j^k + \varepsilon_{d_j^k} (\operatorname{prox}_{\tau \lambda_{d_j}\|\cdot\|_1}(d_j^k - \tau \nabla_{d_j} f(a_J, d_J, \dots, d_1)) - d_j^k)$
 end for
end for

$(\varepsilon_{a_J^k}, \varepsilon_{d_J^k}, \dots, \varepsilon_{d_1^k}) \in \{0, 1\}^{J+1}$
allows to choose which blocks are updated at each iteration

$\varepsilon_{b^k} = 1$: block b is updated at iteration k
 $\varepsilon_{b^k} = 0$: block b is not updated at iteration k

Convergence of block-coordinate methods [2]
Under the following assumptions:

- Convex objective function
- Lipschitz-continuous partial gradients
- Stepsize bounded by inverse of Lipschitz constant
- Every block is updated infinitely many times

These methods **converge to a minimizer**.

Comparing different update rules

Forward-Backward (FB)
All blocks are updated simultaneously

Cyclic
Blocks are updated one after the other from the coarsest scale to the finest

Approximations
Approximation coefficients are updated from the coarsest scale to the finest (studied in [1])

Approximations then details
Detail coefficients are inserted in between the previous updates

Setting

Ground truth

Image size: 1024 × 1024
Number of decomposition levels: 5
Linear operator A : Gaussian blur with 2D variance σ_{blur}
Noise ε : Additive Gaussian noise with variance σ_{noise}

Experiments

Low blur / High noise

$\sigma_{\text{blur}} = (1, 1)$
 $\sigma_{\text{noise}} = 0.1$

BCD

The descent steps on high frequencies are the most efficient
→ In a **low blur / high noise** context: favor methods that **focus on high frequencies**.

High blur / Low noise

$\sigma_{\text{blur}} = (8, 8)$
 $\sigma_{\text{noise}} = 0.01$

BCD

The descent steps on low frequencies are the most efficient
→ In a **high blur / low noise** context: favor methods that **focus on low frequencies**.